Audra M. Mori (State Bar No. 162850) Katherine M. Dugdale (State Bar No. 168014) Jennifer N. Chiarelli (State Bar No. 212253) PERKINS COIE LLP 1620 26 <sup>th</sup> Street, Sixth Floor – South Tower Santa Monica, California 90404		
Tel: (310) 788-9900; Fax: (310) 788-3399		
Attorneys for Plaintiff MICROSOFT CORPORA	TION	
	**e-filed 5/9/06	
UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT	
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
MICROSOFT CORPORATION, a Washington corporation,	CASE NO. 03-CV-5424-JF/HRL	
Plaintiff,		
v.	STIPULATION TO CONTINUE	
SUNCREST ENTERPRISE, a California corporation; and YI-LING CHEN, an individual a/k/a EILEEN CHEN,	PRETRIAL CONFERENCE AND TRIAL; [PROPOSED]-ORDER	
Defendants.		
SUNCREST ENTERPRISE, INC., a California corporation,		
Third Party Claimant,		
v.		
M-PLUS INTERNATIONAL TECHNOLOGY, INC., a California corporation; SIMON PL YU, an individual; and AILEEN Y. JIN, an individual,		
Cross-Defendants.		
///		
The parties, by and through their attorne	eys of record, request that the Court accept the	
parties' Stipulation to Continue the Pretrial Confe	erence and Trial. The Pretrial Conference is	

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

currently set for May 12, 2006 and Trial is set for May 26, 2006, and the parties request that these dates be continued approximately sixty days.

Pursuant to the Court's March 31, 2006 Civil Minutes regarding the hearing on Plaintiff Microsoft Corporation's ("Microsoft") and Defendants Suncrest Enterprise and Yi-Ling Chen a/k/a Eileen Chen's (collectively, "Defendants") respective motions for summary judgment, the Court deferred its ruling on the motions for thirty days pending participation in a settlement conference before Magistrate Judge Howard R. Lloyd. The Court also indicated that the parties were to file their Pretrial Conference Statement within seven days after the Court ruled on the parties' motions for summary judgment.

The Court has yet to rule on the parties' respective motions for summary judgment. Without the Court's ruling on the parties' motions, the Pretrial Conference will take place before the parties have prepared and filed their Pretrial Conference Statement. Depending on the Court's ruling on the parties' motions for summary judgment, the factual and legal issues to be set forth in the Joint Pretrial Conference Statement could change significantly. Alternatively, resolution of the parties' motions for summary judgment could altogether eliminate the need for preparing a filing a Joint Pretrial Conference Statement.

Moreover, counsel for Defendants has a conflict with the May 26, 2006 Trial date as he is scheduled to be in trial in another matter.

The parties therefore request a continuance of the Pretrial Conference until July 14, 2006 and Trial until July 28, 2006. Continuing the Pretrial Conference and Trial dates will accommodate defense counsel's scheduling conflict and will allow the Court time to rule on the parties' respective motions for summary judgment before the parties prepare their Joint Pretrial Conference Statement. Continuing the Pretrial Conference date will not only allow the parties to prepare their Joint Pretrial Conference Statement with respect to the factual and/or legal issues remaining after the summary judgment motions, but will also conserve the Court's time and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The parties participated in the settlement conference before Magistrate Judge Lloyd, however, were unable to reach a settlement.

1	resources that would be expended reviewing a potentially	superfluous Joint Pretrial Conference	
2	Statement.		
3	The parties therefore request the Court continue the Pretrial Conference from May 12,		
4	2006 to July 14, 2006 and the Trial from May 26, 2006 to	July 28, 2006.	
5	IT IS SO STIPULATED:		
6	DATED: May_, 2006 PERKIN	NS COIE LLP	
7	f		
8	By: Kather	rine M. Dugdale	
9 10	Attorn MICR	neys for Plaintiff OSOFT CORPORATION	
11		AN & MILLER, P.C.	
12			
13	By:	C. Gorman	
14	SUNC	leys for Defendants CREST ENTERPRISE and YI-LING Va/k/a EILEEN CHEN	
15		Nava Eileen Chen	
16 17	DATED: May 5 2004 SIZAND	T. LEUTHOLD	
18			
19	Shawn	T. Leuthold	
20		leys for Cross-Defendants US INTERNATIONAL	
21	TECH	NOLOGY, INC., SIMON PL YU, and	
22	I and the second se	EN Y. JIN	
23	DIDELLANT TO EVIDER ATION IT IS COOK		
24		DERED.	
25	Dated: May 9, 2006	JEREMY FOGEL	
26	I Instead	States Di trict Judge	
27			
28			
	STIPULATION TO CONTINUE PRETRIAL CONFERENCE AND T CASE NO. 03-CV-5424-JF/HRL	TRIAL	

<del>-</del>	viewing a potentially superfluous Joint Pretrial Conference	
Statement.		
The parties therefore request the Court continue the Pretrial Conference from May 12,		
2006 to July 14, 2006 and the Trial from May 26, 2006 to July 28, 2006.		
IT IS SO STIPULATED:		
DATED: May <u>8</u> , 2006	PERKINS COIE LLP	
	By: /s/ Katherine M. Dugdale	
	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
	MICROSOFT CORPORATION	
DATED: May <u>8</u> , 2006	GORMAN & MILLER, P.C.	
	¬ /≈/*	
	By: /s/* John C. Gorman	
	Attorneys for Defendants	
	SUNCREST ENTERPRISE and YI-LING CHEN a/k/a EILEEN CHEN	
	*per authorization	
DATED: May, 2006	SHAWN T. LEUTHOLD	
	By: Shawn T. Leuthold	
	Attorneys for Cross-Defendants M-PLUS INTERNATIONAL	
	TECHNOLOGY, INC., SIMON PL YU, and AILEEN Y. JIN	
PURSUANT TO STIPULA	TION, IT IS SO ORDERED.	
Dated: May, 2006	HON. JEREMY FOGEL	
	United States District Judge	

## 1 PROOF OF SERVICE 2 STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 3 I am and was at all times herein mentioned employed in the County of Los Angeles. 4 State of California. I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the within action or proceeding. My business address is 1620 26th Street, Sixth Floor, Santa Monica, California 5 90404. 6 On May 8, 2006, I served a true copy of STIPULATION TO CONTINUE 7 PRETRIAL CONFERENCE AND TRIAL; [PROPOSED] ORDER on the interested parties in this action by placing said document enclosed in a sealed envelope (for collection 8 and mailing, with postage thereon fully prepaid, on the same date, following ordinary 9 business practices) in an internal collection basket, addressed as follows: 10 John C. Gorman Attorneys for Defendant/Cross-11 Craig Alan Hansen Claimant SUNCREST ENTERPRISE, GORMAN & MILLER, P.C. INC. and Defendant YI-LING CHEN 12 210 North Fourth Street, Suite 200 San Jose, CA 95112 13 Telephone: (408) 297-2222 Facsimile: (408) 297-2224 14 15 Shawn T. Leuthold Attorneys for Cross-Defendants SIMON Law Office of Shawn T. Leuthold P. YU, AILEEN Y. JIN and M-PLUS 16 1671 The Alameda, Suite 303 INTERNATIONAL TECHNOLOGY San Jose, CA 95126 (dba Microplus International 17 Telephone: (408) 924-0132 Technology) Facsimile: (408) 924-0134 18 19 20 [XX] BY MAIL) I am readily familiar with this business's practices concerning collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States 21 Postal Service, and declare that correspondence is deposited with the United States Postal Service on the same day it is internally collected at Perkins Coie LLP in the 22 ordinary course of business. 23 (BY HAND DELIVERY) I caused each envelope to be delivered by hand to the 24 offices listed above... 25 []**(BY OVERNIGHT)** I caused each envelope, with postage fully prepaid, to be sent 26 by DHL Express. 27 [] **(BY E-MAIL)** I served a true copy of on the interested parties in this action by email, addressed as follows: 28

## 

	ľ	
1	[]	(BY FACSIMILE/TELECOPY) I caused each document as a courtesy copy to be sent by Automatic Facsimile/Telecopier to the number(s) indicated above.
2	[]	(STATE) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.
4	[XX]	(FEDERAL) I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this court at whose direction the service was made.
5		Executed on May 8, 2006, at Santa Monica, California.
6		2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2
7		
8		_/s/ SANAYE KUMAGAI
9		SANAYE KUMAGAI
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23 24		
25		
26		
27 27		
28		
-		